

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

il

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/465,338 12/17/1999		Kenneth S. Albert	PT-1817	8786	
23607	7590 08/25/2004		EXAMINER		
IVOR M. HUGHES, BARRISTER & SOLICITOR,			TRAN, SUSAN T		
	TRADEMARK AGENTS				
175 COMMERCE VALLEY DRIVE WEST			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 200			1615		
THORNHILI	L, ON L3T 7P6				
CANADA			DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•								
Office Action Summary		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/465	5,338	ALBERT ET AL.				
		Exami	ner	Art Unit				
		Susan		1615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠ Re	esponsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>04 February 2</i>	<u>2004</u> .					
2a)⊠ Th	is action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b) This action is	non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition	of Claims							
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-110 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-110 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>								
Application	Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachment(s)								
	References Cited (PTO-892)	TO 040)	4) X Interview Summary (F Paper No(s)/Mail Date	°TO-413)				
3) 🔲 Informatio	Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pon Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or s)/Mail Date	•	5) Notice of Informal Pate 6) Other:		)			

Application/Control Number: 09/465,338

Art Unit: 1615

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Receipt is acknowledged of applicant's Status Inquiry filed 06/09/04, Request for Extension of Time and Amendment filed 02/04/04.

## Allowable subject matter

Claims 48, 49 and 60 are allowable.

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Refer to rejections in the office action dated 08/04/03.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 09/465,338

Art Unit: 1615

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Refer to rejection in the office action dated 08/04/03.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 02/04/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Original rejections are maintained, except the 102(b) rejection by EP 0 856 313 A 1 has been withdrawn in view of applicant's amendment and argument dated 02/04/04.

Applicant argues that all of the Eudragit type polymers taught in EPA '313 are charged, thus, the skilled artisan, having read EPA '313 in its entirety would not have deviated from the teachings of EPA '313 to use a neutral copolymer as there is o motivation provided by the teachings of EPA '313 to use a neutral copolymer. Contrary to the applicant's argument, the broad teaching of multi-layer membrane comprising film-forming, water insoluble synthetic polymers is generic, and therefore, permits the use of any water-insoluble polymer including neutral copolymer. Eudragit type polymers are just exemplary, evident by the use of the phrase "such as" (see EPA '313, page 4, lines 42-44). See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Applicant shows unexpected result by presenting data comparing pharmacokinetic parameters between the claimed invention and Cardizem CD (equivalent of the product of EPA '313). However, the data does not show which composition is being tested. Applicants' claims comprise several independent claims claiming different formulations with respect to percent amounts and ingredients being

Application/Control Number: 09/465,338

Art Unit: 1615

used. Accordingly, the showing is insufficient to overcome the rejection of claims 1-47, 50-59 and 61-110 based upon EPA '313 as set forth in the last Office action.

#### Pertinent Arts

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hendrickson et al., and Barry et al. are cited as of interest. Barry is cited for the teachings of a sustained release tablet comprising a core of at least one excipient and at least one pharmacologically active substance, including diltiazem; a coating comprising water-insoluble neutral copolymer (see abstract, column 3, column 7, lines 13-15, and claim 1).

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/465,338 Page 5

Art Unit: 1615

## Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan T. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-0606. The examiner can normally be reached on M-R from 6:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K. Page, can be reached at (571) 272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

THURMAN K PAGE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1500